

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1430
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/041,065	11/09/2001	Hiromichi Tokuda	36856.581	4140	
75	590 08/13/2003				
KEATING & BENNETT LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 312 10400 Eaton Place			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
Fairfax, VA 22	2030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832 ·		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No		Applicant(s)	CN			
Office Action Comments	10/041,065		TOKUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of the community of	TUYEN T NGU		2832				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cove	er sneet with the co	orrespondence ad	aress –			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory m iod will apply and will expire tute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be time inimum of thirty (30) days a SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this or 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-	final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicat	ion						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		ration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		idion.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•				
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ed by the Examine	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	4) 5)	Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PT				
S Patent and Trademark Office							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/041,065

Art Unit: 2832

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a method of making a laminated ceramic electronic

component, classified in class 29, subclass 602.1.

II. Claims 15-17, drawn to a laminated ceramic electronic component, classified in

class 336, subclass 83.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions [II] and [I] are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

laminated electronic component can be made by using a print screening process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

- Embodiment 1:

figures 3A-12B;

- Embodiment 2:

figure 13;

- Embodiment 3:

figure 18;

Application/Control Number: 10/041,065

Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

- Embodiment 4:

figure 21;

- Embodiment 5:

figure 23;

- Embodiment 6:

figure 26; and

- Embodiment 7:

figure 27.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,065

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703-308-0821.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 703-308-7619. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7724 for regular

communications and 703-305-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TTN TIN

August 11, 2003

Trujen Nguyen

Page 4